



[F]] [F])

PCHANION

FEB - 6 740

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JOHN DOE, JOHN DOE, SR. and :

JANE DOE, :

Plaintiffs : No. 3:02-0444

vs. : No. 3:02-0444

FATHER ERIC ENSEY, FATHER CARLOS : (JONES, D.J.)
URRUTIGOITY, DIOCESE OF SCRANTON, : (SYMSER, M.J.)

URRUTIGOITY, DIOCESE OF SCRANTON, : BISHOP JAMES C. TIMLIN, THE :

SOCIETY OF ST. JOHN, THE PRIESTLY :

FRATERNITY OF ST. PETER, and : JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

ST. GREGORY'S ACADEMY,

Defendants :

#### JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN

Conference per Local Rule 16.3: August 26, 2002

#### I. PRINCIPAL ISSUES:

1.10 Specifically for each party, please give a statement summarizing this case:

#### By Plaintiffs:

The minor Plaintiff herein John Doe was a student at St. Gregory's Academy beginning in the 1995-1996 academic year. During the Plaintiff's junior year at St. Gregory's, members of the Society of St. John arrived at the Academy. Defendants Ensey and Urrutigoity sexually assaulted the minor Plaintiff. Negligence is asserted against Bishop Timlin, the Diocese of Scranton, the Fraternity of St. Peter and St. Gregory's Academy.

#### By Defendants:

1.11 SSJ, Ensey and Urrutigoity: The Defendants deny the Plaintiffs' allegations and assert that Plaintiff was not sexually assaulted by either Defendants Ensey or Urrutigoity.

Defendant Timlin denies any liability on his part.

PFSP and SGA: The Defendants, PFSP and SGA deny that any sexual assaults occurred on the premises of St. Gregory's Academy and deny allegations of negligence against them.

The principal factual issues that the parties dispute are:

1.12

## agree upon are:

- 1.21
- 1.30 The principal legal issues that the parties

## dispute are:

1.31.

#### agree upon are:

- 1.40 None.
- 1.50 Identify any unresolved issues as to service or process, personal jurisdiction, subject matter jurisdiction, or venue:

None.

1.60 Identify any named parties that have not yet been served:

None.

1.70 Identify an additional parties that:

plaintiff intends to join:

None at present.

defendants intend to join:

1.80 Identify any additional claims that: plaintiffs intend to add:

None at present.

defendants intend to add:

- 2.0 Alternative Dispute Resolution
  - 2.10 Identify any ADR procedure to which this case already has been assigned or which the parties have agreed to use.

None	re:	edui	proce	ADR 1
commenced:	be	to	ADR	Date
completed:	be	to	ADR	Date

- 2.20 If the parties have been unable to agree on an ADR procedure, but one or more parties believes that the case is appropriate for such a procedure, identify the party or parties that recommend ADR and the specific ADR process recommended:
- 2.30 If all parties share the view that no ADR procedure should be used in this case, set forth the basis for that view.

Due to the factual and legal issues involved, the parties agree that ADR is inappropriate. The parties are aware of the Mediation Program in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania.

3.0 Consent to Jurisdiction by a Magistrate Judge

No.

- 4.0 DISCLOSURES:
  - 4.100 Separately for each party, list by name and title/position each person whose identity has been disclosed:

By the Plaintiffs:

#### Name

4.101	John Doe
4.102	John Doe, Sr.
4.103	Bishop James C. Timlin
4.104	Father Eric Ensey
4.105	Father Carlos Urrutigoity
4.106	PSP Trooper James Gilgallon
4.107	Lack. Co. Det. Thomas Dubas
4.108	PSP Trooper Weiss
4.109	Jude Huntz
4.110	Paul Hornak
4.111	Joseph Sciambra
4.112	Brother Alexis Bugnolo
4.113	Aux. Bishop John Dougherty
4.114	Millie Breedlove
4.115	Father Christopher Clay
4.116	Father Marshall Roberts
4.117	James B. Early
4.118	Alan Hicks
4.119	Father Paul Carr
4.120	Father Dominick Carey
4.121	Father Arnaud Devillers

# 4.151 Disclosed by Defendant

# Society of St. John, Ensey and Urrutigoity:

Father Carlos Urrutigoity Father, Eric Ensey and Deacon Joseph Levine.

Diocese and Bishop Timlin: Bishop James C. Timlin

### PFSP and SGA:

Allen Hicks, Headmaster - SGA Father Paul Carr, Priest - PFSP Father Arnaud Devillers, Priest - PFSP

- 4.200 Separately for each party, describe by categories the documents that have been disclosed or produced through formal discovery, indicating which categories relate to damages:
- 4.201 Categories of documents disclosed by Plaintiff

4.202	Records of	St. Gregory's Academy (minor Plaintiff)
4.203	Records of	Thomas Aquinas College
4.204	Records of	Holly Hill/Charter Behavioral Health
	System	
4.205	Records of	Transitions Recovery

# 4.251 Categories of documents disclosed by Defendant

Society of St. John, Ensey and Urrutigoity: Documents are currently being gathered for response to Plaintiff's discovery request.

Bishop Timlin: None.

**PFSP and SGA:** Documents are currently being gathered for response to Plaintiffs' discovery request.

4.300 Additional Documents Disclosures:

#### By the Plaintiff:

- 4.301 The Plaintiffs, upon receipt and review of all discoverable material, will disclose same to Defendants.
  - 4.400 Separately for each party who claims an entitlement to damages or an offset, set forth the computation of the damages or of the offset:
  - 4.401 Plaintiff's calculation of damages:

Plaintiffs will provide through discovery the amount of medical bills incurred as well as documentation on the minor's past and future lost wage claim. The emotional distress and other related damages are not capable of liquidation. Plaintiffs also seek punitive damages.

4.402 Defendants' calculation of offset:

Society of St. John, Ensey and Urrutigoity:

#### 5.0 Motions

Identify any motion(s) whose early resolution would likely have a significant effect either on the scope of discovery or other aspects of this litigation:

Nature of Motion	Moving Party	Anticipated Date
Motion for Summary Judgment	SSJ, Ensey and Urrutigoity	February, 2004
	Bishop James C. Timlin	February, 2004

## 6.0 Discovery

6.10 Briefly describe any discovery that has been completed or is in progress:

## By Plaintiffs:

6.11 Plaintiffs have served written discovery upon
Defendants and the Defendants have agreed to respond to
said discovery. The parties also agree that their Rule
26 Disclosures are to be provided.

## By Defendants:

### Society of St. John, Ensey and Urrutigoity:

Defendants have served written discovery upon Plaintiffs and the responses will be forthcoming.

**Bishop James C. Timlin:** Interrogatories will be served on all parties.

**PFSP and SGA:** Interrogatories and a Request for Production of Documents have been served on Plaintiffs.

6.20 Describe any discovery that all parties agree should be conducted indicating for each discovery undertaking its purpose or what kinds of information will be developed through it (e.g. "plaintiff will depose Mr. Jones, defendant's controller, to learn what defendant's revenue recognition policies were and how they were

applied	to	the	kinds	of	contracts	in	this	case"	) ;
---------	----	-----	-------	----	-----------	----	------	-------	-----

All parties and witnesses to this action will be deposed.

- 6.30 Describe any discovery that one or more parties want(s) to conduct but to which another party objects, indicating for each such discovery undertaking its purpose or what kinds of information would be developed through it:
- 6.40 Identify any subject area limitations on discovery that one or more parties would like imposed, at the first stage of or throughout the litigation.
- 6.50 For each of the following discovery tools, recommend the per party or per side limitation (specify a number) that should be fixed, subject to an appropriate showing (where parties cannot agree, set forth separately the limits recommended by plaintiff(s) and by defendant(s).
- 6.51 depositions (excluding experts) to be taken by:

Plaintiffs Defendants	30
SSJ, Ensey and Urrutigoity	30
PFSP and SGA	10 maximum per FRCP
Bishop James C. Timlin	10

6.52 interrogatories to be served by:

Plaintiffs	25 pursuant to LR 33.3
Defendants	•
SSJ, Ensey and Urrutigoi	ty <u>25</u>
PFSP and SGA	25 maximum per FRCP
Bishop James C. Timlin	10

6.53 document requests to be served by:

Plair	ntiffs			<u> </u>	sets)	_
Defe	ndants					_
SSJ,	Ensey	and	Urrutigoity	5_	(sets)	

PFSP and SGA: not yet determined Bishop James C. Timlin: not yet determined

6.54 requests for admissions to be served by:

Plaintiffs	25 pursuant to LR 36.1
Defendants	·
SSJ, Ensey and Urrutigoit	y <u>25</u>
PFSP and SGA	25
Bishop James C. Timlin	25

6.60 All discovery commenced in time to be completed by:

August 15, 2003 requested by Plaintiffs
October, 2003 requested by PFSP and SGA
October, 2003 requested by James C. Timlin

6.70 Reports from retained experts due:

June 1, 2003 requested by Plaintiff
September 1, 2003 requested by PFSP and SGA
October 1, 2003 - James C.
Timlin

- 6.80 Supplementation due
- 7.0 Protective Order
  - 7.11 If entry of a protective order is sought, attach to this statement a copy of the proposed order.
  - 7.12 If there is a dispute about whether a protective order should be entered or about certain terms of the proposed order, briefly summarize each party's position below:
- 8.0 Certification of Settlement Authority (All parties shall complete the certification)

FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:

JAMES BENDELL, ESQUIRE P.O. BOX 587 PORT TOWNSEND, WA 98368

FOR THE DEFENDANTS:

SSJ, ENSEY AND URRUTIGOITY:

SAL COGNETTI, JR., ESQUIRE 507 LINDEN STREET SCRANTON, PA 18503

FOR PFSP AND SGA:

JOSEPH F. LEESON, JR., ESQUIRE 70 EAST BROAD STREET BETHLEHEM, PA 18016

FOR BISHOP TIMLIN:

JAMES E. O'BRIEN, JR. 538 SPRUCE STREET SCRANTON, PA 18503

#### 9.0 Scheduling

9.10 This case may be appropriate for trial in approximately

\_\_\_\_\_\_ 240 days from the filing of this action in this

Court.

\_\_\_\_\_ 365 days from the filing of this action in this

Court.

\_\_\_\_\_ Days from the filing of the action in this Court.

9.20 Suggested Date for Trial:

July, 2003 month/year requested by Plaintiffs

September 15, 2003 requested by PFSP and SGA

9.30 Suggested Date for Pre-Trial Conference

November, 2003 requested by Bishop Timlin

June 2003 month/year requested by Plaintiffs

September 1, 2003 requested by PFSP and SGA

September, 2003 requested by Bishop Timlin

9.40 Final Date for Joining Additional Parties:

July 15, 2003\_

## 9.50 Final Date for Amending Pleading:

July 15, 2003 Plaintiff(s)

January, 2004 requested by Defendants PFSP and SGA

## 9.60 All potentially dispositive motions should be filed by:

SSJ, Ensey and Urrutigoity: February, 2004

Bishop Timlin: September, 2003

#### 10. Other Matters

Make any other suggestions for the case development process, settlement, or trial that may be useful or necessary to the efficient and just resolution of this matter.

#### 11.0 Identification of Lead Counsel:

Identify by name, address and telephone number lead counsel for each party

FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:

JAMES BENDELL, ESQUIRE

P.O. BOX 587

PORT TOWNSEND, WA 98368

DOUGLAS A. CLARK, ESQUIRE 222 WYOMING AVENUE SCRANTON, PA 18503

HARRY T. COLEMAN, ESQUIRE 148 ADAMS AVENUE SCRANTON, PA 18503

FOR THE DEFENDANTS SOCIETY OF ST. JOHN, ENSEY, URRUTIGOITY:

> SAL COGNETTI, JR., ESQUIRE VINCENT S. CIMINI, ESQUIRE 507 LINDEN STREET SUITE 700 SCRANTON, PA 18503

FOR DEFENDANTS PFSP AND SGA:

JOSEPH F. LEESON, JR., ESQUIRE P.O. BOX 1426 BETHLEHEM, PA 18016-1426 . 02/06/2003 10:14 \_\_ 570-345-0776

SGA:

FOLEY COGNETTI

PAGE @ 012

SAL COGNETTI, JR., ESQUIRE VINCENT S. CIMINI, ESQUIRE 507 LINDEN STREET

SUITE 700

SCRANTON, PA 18503 FOR DEPENDANTS PESP AND

> JOSEPH F. LEBSON, JR., ESQUIRE P.O. BOX 1426 BETHLEHEM, PA 18016-1426

FOR THE DEFENDANTS DIOCESE OF SCRANTON AND BISHOP JAMES C. TIMLIN:

> JOSEPH A. O'ERIEN, ESQUIRE P.O. BOX 240 CLARKS SUMMIT, PA 18411

FOR THE DEFENDANTS THE PRIESTLY FRATERNITY OF ST. PETER AND ST. GREGORY'S ACADEMY:

> JOSEPH F. LEESON, JR., ESQUIRE 70 EAST BROAD STREET BETHLEHEM, PA 18016

¥■02/08FEB. 6.2003 F 2:43PM5888KENNEDYOBRIENMCCORMACKMULCAHEY

NO.275

P.2/21

SAL COGNETTI, JR., ESQUIRE VINCENT S. CIMINI, ESQUIRE 507 LINDEN STREET SUITE 700 SCRANTON, PA 18503

FOR DEFENDANTS PFSP AND 8GA:

JOSEPH F. LEESON, JR., ESQUIRE P.O. BOX 1425 BETHLEHEM, PA 18016-1426

FOR THE DEFENDANTS DIOCREE OF SCRANTON AND BISHOP JAMES C. TIMLIN:

> JAMES E. O'BRIEN, JR., ESQUIRE 538 SPRUCE STREET

SCRANTON, PA 18503

FOR THE DEFENDANTS THE PRIESTLY FRATERNITY OF ST. PETER AND ST. GREGORY'S ACADEMY:

JOSEPH F. LEBSON, JR., ESQUIRE 70 EAST BROAD STREET BETHLEHEM, PA 18016 .02/0FEB. 6. 200313 4:02PM05586LEESON, LEESON&LFESONT COLEMAN

NO. 761 P. 3

FOR THE DEPKNDANTS SOCIETY OF ST. JOHN, ENSEY, URRUTIGOITY:

> SAL COGNETTI, JR., ESQUIRE VINCENT S. CIMINI, ESQUIRE 507 LINDEN STREET SUITE 700 SCRANTON, PA 18503

FOR DEFENDANTS PFSP AND SGA:

JOSEPH F. LEESON, JR., ESQUIRE

P.O. BOX 1426

BETHLEHEM, PA 18014-1426

FOR THE DEFENDANTS DIOCESE OF SCRANTON AND BISHOP JAMES C. TIMLIN:

JOSEPH A. O'BRIEN, ESQUIRE P.O. BOX 240 CLARKS SUMMIT, PA 18411

FOR THE DEFENDANTS THE PRIESTLY FRATERNITY OF ST. PETER AND ST. GREGORY'S ACADEMY:

Joseph F. Leeson, JR., ESQUIRE

70 EAST BROAD STREET BETHLEHEM, PA 18016